## BEFORE THE CITY OF WHEELING, WEST VIRGINIA PLANNING COMMISSION

In re:

GC&P Development, LLC - Bethany Pike, WV 88

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

\* \* \*

\* \* \*

Monday, February 3, 2020 5: 45 p.m. - 6: 04 p.m.

City-County Building 1500 Chapline Street City Council Chambers Wheeling, West Virginia

## <u>Planning Commission Members in Attendance</u>

James "Jeff" Mauck, Jr., Chairperson Martha Wright, Vice Chairperson Christina Schessler Wendy Scatterday William Schwarz Thomas Conner

## Also in Attendance

Rosemary Humway-Warmuth, Esquire, City Solicitor Thomas Connelly, AICP Michael Hooper, Esquire

## PROCEEDINGS

CHAIRPERSON MAUCK: The next item of business is to lift from the table GC&P Development, LLC, have Mr. Hooper come up and speak to us about the three points that he has briefed us with on the paper here and give you an opportunity to ask questions about those three points.

MS. HUMWAY-WARMUTH: The Commission needs a motion to lift from the table.

CHAIRPERSON MAUCK: I would like to make a motion to lift the proposal of GC&P Development from the table.

COMMISSIONER MONROE: So moved.

CHAIRPERSON MAUCK: Commissioner Monroe moves.

Second?

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

table.

COMMISSIONER SCHWARZ: Second.

CHAIRPERSON MAUCK: Commissioner Schwarz seconds.

All in favor, say aye.

ALL COMMISSIONERS: Aye.

CHAIRPERSON MAUCK: Opposed, nay.

(No response)

CHAIRPERSON MAUCK: Okay. It's lifted from the

Mr. Hooper, you have the floor.

MR. HOOPER: Thank you, sir.

Very briefly, as I set forth in my e-mail to

Susar, Sommer LeCror, Registerec Professiona, Reporter 140-699-0321, 740-359-2265, sommerss@comcast.net

10 l

Mr. Connelly, we remain on schedule for the mid-March submissions that we had represented at the December 16th meeting of this body.

So from that standpoint, I can report that the traffic study has been approved by the West Virginia DOH.

Traffic counts are underway. We met with them. The scope has been set.

I also represent we had conversations with the West Virginia DEP regarding the exemption from the quarry permit. Again, we feel very confident that that will not be an issue, that we will be exempt and that we will have something in writing from the DEP in that regard.

Then as to the geotech, we attached the scope letter that we received from David Hooper -- no relationship -- at the Larson Design Group. I believe that sets forth the issues that this Commission was concerned about. We don't have the report yet, but we will get that to you as soon as possible.

So, again, the Traffic Impact Study was the one that was going to take the most time. The work that needed to be done in terms of counting the cars and defining the scope of the state, that is underway at this point.

So, again, we feel very comfortable that we will be able to meet the mid-March submissions.

**I** 

CHAIRPERSON MAUCK: Commissioners, questions?

COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: I have a question for you,

Tom. When you say mid March, he would have to have this

complete by -- are you talking about in order to be ready for the April meeting?

MR. HOOPER: Correct.

COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: So it would have to be -- I'm just curious. What's the count back on that?

MR. CONNELLY: Well, the April meeting is April 6th.

COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Right.

MR. CONNELLY: There could be a different date scheduled though if needed. In talking with the community college today, just anticipating a large crowd, their auditorium is not available on April 6th. So with that said, will it be here on April 6th, or do we wait a week? It doesn't necessarily have to be at -- a public hearing doesn't have to be a regular Planning Commission meeting. It's convenient to do it that way.

we also discussed that when we advertise for a public hearing, once that advertisement is written, it informs the public that they can come to the office to review the file. So they should have ample time to do that.

We're expecting the completed studies by mid March.

I would think then that mid April would be the soonest that we would have our required 30-day notice. That's what the state requires for a 30-day public hearing notice.

So we can work towards that date but not necessarily schedule it tonight just because we don't have it in hand, or we can say this is the date, and we will conduct our public hearing based on what's been submitted.

COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Then if it's mid March, it's not going to be the 30-day --

MR. CONNELLY: Until April 6th, correct. If we say we're going to have a public hearing on April 13th, which is the following Monday, then everything that's submitted up until --

COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Okay. I just wanted to clarify that.

CHAIRPERSON MAUCK: What I think we should do is to send this to the Zoning Committee, and then have Mr. Hooper come back and update us to make sure that he is on track for the April 6th meeting or April -- whatever the date is in April --

MR. CONNELLY: 13th would be the following Monday.

CHAIRPERSON MAUCK: -- and make a recommendation back to the Planning Commission for their consideration at the March 2nd meeting.

COMMISSIONER MONROE: Do you want a Zoning 2 Committee meeting --3 CHAIRPERSON MAUCK: Prior to the March 2nd meeting. COMMISSIONER MONROE: Okay. 5 COMMISSIONER SCATTERDAY: What would the recommendation be? In regards to whether or not to have the 6 public hearing? 7 8 CHAIRPERSON MAUCK: To proceed or not, see where 9 Mr. Hooper is. 10 COMMISSIONER MONROE: The submittals won't be ready 11 yet. It seems to me he's already telling us that that's 12 not --MS. HUMWAY-WARMUTH: Mid March. 13 MR. HOOPER: It's always possible. If I get it 14 done early, I promise I'll get to you as soon as I have it, 15 but I have been told by the experts that mid March was their 16 timeframe. 17 l 18 MS. HUMWAY-WARMUTH: Well, Mr. Connelly, anytime that you get any submittals from Mr. Hooper, you will fairly 19 quickly address those and send them out to the Commission, 20 correct? 21 22 MR. CONNELLY: Correct. 23 MS. HUMWAY-WARMUTH: So if we would go on this 24 track, to get those submittals that he stated should be mid

March, one would expect mid March to be the 15th, and on the 15th, give us a status to communicate to Mr. Connelly by that time as to what has been received so that he could forward them to the Commission. Then if we are on track, or even if not, if the will is to set this hearing for an April date, then Tom would have plenty of time to notify the paper and provide dates within that notification between April 1 and April whatever, 15th or 12th, and every document that we have at that point in time relevant to this matter would be available.

CHAIRPERSON MAUCK: Okay.

COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Is it easier just to stick with the regular -- are we going to move a meeting based on material, or is the preference, instead of worrying about that, just to put it in May?

MR. CONNELLY: Well, we moved the hearing -- the first three months of the year -- and then the fourth because there is a holiday on the second week of April. So thinking that people might not be available for that day, we just made it the fourth month also to meet on the first Monday. So generally our regular meeting date would have been April 13th, but we can postpone it until the 13th, the 20th. It doesn't have to be the Planning Commission meeting date.

COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: For the hearing?

3

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

MR. CONNELLY: For the hearing.

CHAIRPERSON MAUCK: Which you said was April 6th.

MR. CONNELLY: It's currently scheduled for April 6th. That's right.

MS. HUMWAY-WARMUTH: There may need to be that larger room. I do understand exactly what you said. If it's the will of the Commission that you set it for May, to see if it's available May, whatever date in May, but if not, you would have it -- this room will get very crowded. I would anticipate that. The sound system at the college is better for the crowd, but that's the prerogative of the Commission.

CHAIRPERSON MAUCK: In the meantime, we could continue this matter until the next meeting and get it an updated report next meeting to see if we want to schedule a public hearing sometime in April.

MR. HOOPER: Sounds good to me.

CHAIRPERSON MAUCK: Yes?

COMMISSIONER MONROE: I'm inclined to follow

Commissioner Wright's suggestion, which is -- let's just -we can discuss it at next meeting. Let it go to May. My
thought is -- I want, speaking personally, time to review the
material once it comes in. If we don't get it until mid

March, then I want time to take a look at it, but we can deal
with that at the next meeting.

I have a question for Mr. Hooper if that's okay, and/or our staff.

Mr. Hooper, you say the study has been approved and the traffic counts are underway.

Tom Connelly, maybe you can tell me. I'm searching, and I can't find my e-mail conversations that you had with DOH. I got the impression that at the time you sent that e-mail, they were not yet prepared. They are still talking about it.

MR. CONNELLY: That was prior to December 16th meeting. Up to the December meeting, I hadn't heard any updates, and I believe getting ready for that meeting with the Department of Highways — the last contact was in October. There was a very short timeframe between the December meeting and the January meeting, and now we're in the February meeting. So I believe the last contact that I had with the Department of Highways was early December.

COMMISSIONER MONROE: Mr. Hooper, the traffic counts are underway?

MR. HOOPER: Correct.

COMMISSIONER MONROE: Done by the Department of Highways?

MR. HOOPER: I'm not sure if it's done by them or our engineering firm, but they were approved by DOH. We went

3

6

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

in and suggested certain intersections. They came back and said they wanted these intersections as well. So they set the scope to do what they were told, and that's where we are.

COMMISSIONER SCATTERDAY: I would like to interject on behalf of the residents who are still facing a lot of uncertainty. The timeframe has labored here guite a bit. So I would like to encourage us that -- I think the 30 days, upon receipt of the materials that are going to be provided -- we all definitely want the time to review, but 30 days I think is plenty of time to review what's submitted. So whatever date is decided on for the submission and then the subsequent hearing with that 30-day marker -- if we're talking about March 15th, mid March, then 30 days would be mid April, April 15th or thereabouts. I really like to encourage that we do continue on a timeframe that doesn't just keep pushing this longer and longer and longer for the sake of the residents who continue to have great uncertainty about their own property and their own life. They are in limbo right now. To the extent this goes on and on, their limbo continues.

No disrespect about the process, but, starting in August and now we're talking about a hearing all the way in May, I do feel that that's a disenfranchisement for the other folks that are directly affected by this.

**I** 

COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: I guess my comment would be
-- I would like to stay on what has been the established
schedule of the Commission so the people would know that and
be able to anticipate planning on being there versus calling
a special hearing for an individual piece, instead of just
staying on the Commission schedule. I mean, it's another
two weeks' difference.

COMMISSIONER SCATTERDAY: For the residents, that makes a difference. It does.

COMMISSIONER MONROE: I understand that, and I have great respect for that. On the other hand, this is one of the largest projects we're going to be dealing with in a long time, and it deserves -- with due respect to the concern of the neighbors, I get it. I really do. I have family that live there. It deserves full and complete attention and plenty of time to work it out. While I don't want to leave people in limbo, I don't want to rush it. Again, you may think we're rushing it because we've been talking about for a long time, but we won't be talking about it if we don't have the information yet. We don't have the stuff that we need to make those decisions. We can hash this out at maybe the next meeting, but I think that we need to make sure that we don't rush. This is one of the biggest things we're ever going to deal with.

COMMISSIONER SCATTERDAY: I agree. I think it is worth noting that the applicant could have come in hand with these items in August and did not.

COMMISSIONER MONROE: I understand, but we are right now --

COMMISSIONER SCATTERDAY: Part of the timeframe here is because of the applicant and what was provided. So, again, the continual prolonging is very challenging for the folks that live on the hill, at the bottom of the hill, and immediately adjacent.

COMMISSIONER MONROE: I completely understand that, but it's challenging to me as a commissioner to make sure it's fully and completely vetted, and we have all the information that we need. Again, we can hash this out maybe more at the next meeting.

MS. HUMWAY-WARMUTH: At the upcoming meeting, we could just draw the line -- the hearing shall be upon this date, and whatever has been provided has been provided, and go from there.

COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: We are back to our regular schedule as of May, back to the second Monday. I guess that's what I'm trying to say, people expect it to be the second Monday so they sort of know to plan that. When you call a special meeting, maybe that throws them off or

**I** 

something. That was my thought that -- let's just keep the schedule.

COMMISSIONER SCATTERDAY: That's very well reasoned. I will tell you that the psyche of the residents -- the day that the meeting is called, they would come tomorrow. What our regular schedule is not their consideration.

MS. HUMWAY-WARMUTH: Is the second Monday in May election day? I believe.

MR. CONNELLY: I think election day is the 12th.

COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Tuesday.

MS. HUMWAY-WARMUTH: Okay.

advocating this way, the neighbors have not been heard. The neighbors have been able to send in e-mails, and that has been their right, but in terms of their ability to voice directly, which is different than an e-mail, that right has not been given to them to date. That's really what my point is that we've gone on and on and on, August, September, October, November, December, January, February, March, April, and now we're talking May, so months, and the voices of the residents have not been directly heard from.

COMMISSIONER MONROE: But they will be.

COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: We've had a public hearing.

COMMISSIONER SCATTERDAY: No.

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: That wasn't a public hearing. You're correct.

COMMISSIONER SCATTERDAY: The ten months, looking at the May date, that's a really big offset to not have the direct input of the folks who live here. That, I feel like, is worthy of consideration in a significant way.

CHAIRPERSON MAUCK: As Mr. Commissioner Monroe said, we will consider that at the next meeting.

Anyone have anymore questions for Mr. Hooper?

COMMISSIONER SCHWARTZ: I do.

I'm just wondering, after the traffic study is complete, can we expect that you'll be submitting the results of the traffic study but also some possibly modified roadway modifications that might need to be made as a result of the traffic study?

MR. HOOPER: Certainly.

COMMISSIONER SCHWARTZ: Additional lanes or overpasses, are you considering that as part of the submittal?

MR. HOOPER: We'll know that once we receive the report, but obviously our goal is to have this development move forward, and in order to do that, we to have successful traffic flow that accommodates everybody, at least to a

reasonable degree. That's where we're headed.

3

6

8

9

10 ll

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

COMMISSIONER SCHWARZ: I'm just wondering because the last traffic study that we looked at for the church, the traffic study provided details about number of cars and delay times of traffic, but the suggested -- or any plan modifications to the roadway weren't addressed at all in the traffic study. That would have been something else.

I'm just trying to understand. As far as their schedule goes, you're going to submit the results of the traffic study, but then I think one of the other concerns or points for me at least to evaluate is -- based on the results of this traffic study, we need to add this lane and this lane, and we need to add this turning lane, and it's going to be routed here. So in order to try to evaluate that, I'm just wondering if you perceive that being ready at the time the traffic study is submitted in mid March.

MR. HOOPER: Again, that makes perfect sense to me. I will make sure that our engineer is aware of that. Again, it has to be designed. If they are ready for it, we will be ready for it.

CHAIRPERSON MAUCK: Other questions, Commissioners?

Comments?

COMMISSIONER MONROE: So we're just continuing this into our regular order of business?

**1** [1] - 7:7 12th [2] - 7:8, 13:10 13th [4] - 5:11, 5:21, 7:22 15th [5] - 7:1, 7:2, 7:8. 10:13, 10:14 16th [1] - 9:10 20th [1] - 7:22 **2nd** [2] - 5:24, 6:3 **30** [3] - 10:7, 10:10, 10:13 30-day [4] - 5:2, 5:3, 5:9, 10:12 6th [4] - 5:10, 5:19, 8:2, ability [1] - 13:16 able [2] - 11:4, 13:15 accommodates [1] -14:24 add [2] - 15:12, 15:13 additional [1] - 14:18 address [1] - 6:20 addressed [1] - 15:6 adjacent [1] - 12:10 advocating [1] - 13:14 affected [1] - 10:24 agenda [1] - 16:4 agree [1] - 12:1 anticipate [2] - 8:10, 11:4 anytime [1] - 6:18 applicant [2] - 12:2, 12:7 appreciate [2] - 16:2, 16:3 approved [2] - 9:3, 9:24 April [17] - 5:1, 5:10, 5:11, 5:19, 5:20, 7:5, 7:7, 7:8, 7:18, 7:22, 8:2, 8:4, 8:15, 10:14, 13:20 attention [1] - 11:15 August [3] - 10:22, 12:3, 13:19 available [3] - 7:10, 7:19, 8:8 aware [1] - 15:18 based [3] - 5:7, 7:13, 15:11 behalf [1] - 10:5 better [1] - 8:10 between [2] - 7:7, 9:14 big [1] - 14:5 biggest [1] - 11:23 **bit** [1] - 10:6 **bottom** [1] - 12:9 business [1] - 15:24 Carnegie [1] - 16:5 cars [1] - 15:4 certain [1] - 10:1 certainly [1] - 14:17 CHAIRPERSON [12] -5:16, 5:22, 6:3, 6:8, 7:11, 8:2, 8:12, 8:17, 14:8, 15:21, 16:1, 16:4 challenging [2] - 12:8, 12:12 **church** [1] - 15:3 clarify [1] - 5:15 college [1] - 8:10 comment [1] - 11:1 Comments [1] - 15:22 **Commission** [8] - 5:23, 6:20, 7:4, 7:23, 8:7, 8:11, 11:3, 11:6 Commissioner [2] -8:19, 14:8 **COMMISSIONER** [32] -5:8, 5:14, 6:1, 6:4, 6:5, 6:10, 7:12, 7:24, 8:18, 9:18, 9:21, 10:4, 11:1, 11:8, 11:10, 12:1, 12:4, 12:6, 12:11, 12:20, 13:3, 13:11, 13:13, 13:23, 13:24, 14:1, 14:2, 14:4, 14:11, 14:18, 15:2, 15:23 commissioner [1] -12:12 commissioners [1] -15:21 Committee [2] - 5:17, 6:2 communicate [1] - 7:2 complete [2] - 11:15, 14:13 completely [2] - 12:11, 12:13 concern [1] - 11:13 concerns [1] - 15:10 conduct [1] - 5:6 Connelly [3] - 6:18, 7:2, 9:5 **CONNELLY** [8] - 5:10, 5:21, 6:22, 7:16, 8:1, 8:3, 9:10, 13:10 consider [1] - 14:9 consideration [3] - 5:23, 13:7, 14:7 considering [1] - 14:19 contact [2] - 9:13, 9:16 continual [1] - 12:8 continue [3] - 8:13, 10:15, 10:17 **continues** [1] - 10:20 **continuing** [1] - 15:23 conversations [1] - 9:6 correct [5] - 5:10, 6:21, 6:22, 9:20, 14:3 counts [2] - 9:4, 9:19 crowd [1] - 8:11 crowded [1] - 8:9 date [11] - 5:4, 5:6, 5:19, 7:5, 7:21, 7:23, 8:8, 10:11, 12:18, 13:18, 14:5 dates [1] - 7:7 days [3] - 10:7, 10:10,

deal [2] - 8:23, 11:24 dealing [1] - 11:12 December [5] - 9:10, 9:11, 9:15, 9:17, 13:20 decided [1] - 10:11 decisions [1] - 11:21 definitely [1] - 10:9 degree [1] - 15:1 delay [1] - 15:4 **Department** [3] - 9:13, 9:17, 9:21 deserves [2] - 11:13, 11:15 designed [1] - 15:19 details [1] - 15:4 development [1] - 14:22 difference [2] - 11:7, 11:9 different [1] - 13:17 direct [1] - 14:6 directly [3] - 10:24, 13:17, 13:22 discuss [1] - 8:20 disenfranchisement [1] - 10:23 disrespect [1] - 10:21 document [1] - 7:8 **DOH** [2] - 9:7, 9:24 done [3] - 6:15, 9:21, 9:23 draw [1] - 12:17 due [1] - 11:13 e-mail [3] - 9:6, 9:8, 13:17 e-mails [1] - 13:15 early [2] - 6:15, 9:17 easier [1] - 7:12 **election** [2] - 13:9, 13:10 encourage [2] - 10:7, 10:15 engineer [1] - 15:18 engineering [1] - 9:24 established [1] - 11:2 evaluate [2] - 15:11, 15:14 exactly [1] - 8:6 expect [3] - 7:1, 12:22, 14:13 experts [1] - 6:16 extent [1] - 10:19 facing [1] - 10:5 fairly [1] - 6:19 family [1] - 11:14 far [1] - 15:8 February [2] - 9:16, 13:20 firm [1] - 9:24 first [2] - 7:17, 7:20 flow [1] - 14:24 folks [3] - 10:24, 12:9, 14.6 follow [1] - 8:18 following [2] - 5:12,

5:21

forward [2] - 7:3, 14:23

10:13

fourth [2] - 7:17, 7:20 full [1] - 11:15 fully [1] - 12:13 generally [1] - 7:21 given [1] - 13:18 goal [1] - 14:22 great [2] - 10:17, 11:11 Greene [1] - 16:5 guess [2] - 11:1, 12:21 hand [3] - 5:6, 11:11, 12:2 hash [2] - 11:21, 12:14 headed [1] - 15:1 heard [3] - 9:11, 13:14, 13:22 hearing [15] - 5:3, 5:7, 5:11, 6:7, 7:5, 7:16, 7:24, 8:1, 8:15, 10:12, 10:22, 11:5, 12:17, 13:24, 14:2 Highways [3] - 9:13, 9:17, 9:22 hill [2] - 12:9 holiday [1] - 7:18 Hooper [8] - 5:17, 6:9, 6:19, 9:1, 9:3, 9:18, 14:10, 16:2 HOOPER [8] - 6:14, 8:16, 9:20, 9:23, 14:17, 14:21, 15:17, 16:3 **HUMWAY**[7] - 6:13, 6:18, 6:23, 8:5, 12:16, 13:8. 13:12 **HUMWAY-WARMUTH** [7] - 6:13, 6:18, 6:23, 8:5, 12:16, 13:8, 13:12 immediately [1] - 12:10 impression [1] - 9:7 inclined [1] - 8:18 individual [1] - 11:5 information [2] - 11:20, 12:14 input [1] - 14:6 instead [2] - 7:14, 11:5 interject [1] - 10:4 intersections [2] - 10:1, 10:2 item [1] - 16:4 items [1] - 12:3 **January** [2] - 9:15, 13:20 keep [2] - 10:16, 13:1 labored [1] - 10:6 lane [3] - 15:12, 15:13 lanes [1] - 14:18 larger [1] - 8:6 largest [1] - 11:12 last [3] - 9:13, 9:16, 15:3 least [2] - 14:24, 15:11 leave [1] - 11:16 life [1] - 10:18 limbo [3] - 10:19, 10:20, 11:17 line [1] - 12:17 live [3] - 11:15, 12:9,

14:6 look [1] - 8:23 looked [1] - 15:3 looking [1] - 14:4 mail [3] - 9:6, 9:8, 13:17 mails [1] - 13:15 March [12] - 5:8, 5:24, 6:3, 6:13, 6:16, 7:1, 8:23, 10:13, 13:20, 15:16 marker [1] - 10:12 material [2] - 7:14, 8:22 materials [1] - 10:8 matter [2] - 7:9, 8:13 MAUCK [12] - 5:16, 5:22, 6:3, 6:8, 7:11, 8:2, 8:12, 8:17, 14:8, 15:21, 16:1, 16:4 mean [1] - 11:6 meantime [1] - 8:12 meet [1] - 7:20 meeting [23] - 5:19, 5:24, 6:2, 6:3, 7:13, 7:21, 7:23, 8:13, 8:14, 8:20, 8:24, 9:11, 9:12, 9:15, 9:16, 11:22, 12:15, 12:16, 12:24, 13:5, 14:9 mid [10] - 5:1, 5:8, 6:13, 6:16, 6:24, 7:1, 8:22, 10:13, 10:14, 15:16 might [2] - 7:19, 14:15 modifications [2] -14:15, 15:6 modified [1] - 14:14 Monday [6] - 5:12, 5:21, 7:20, 12:21, 12:23, 13.8 Monroe [1] - 14:8 **MONROE** [11] - 6:1, 6:4, 6:10, 8:18, 9:18, 9:21, 11:10, 12:4, 12:11, 13:23, 15:23 month [1] - 7:20 months [3] - 7:17, 13:21, 14:4 move [2] - 7:13, 14:23 moved [1] - 7:16 **MR** [16] - 5:10, 5:21, 6:14, 6:22, 7:16, 8:1, 8:3, 8:16, 9:10, 9:20, 9:23, 13:10, 14:17, 14:21, 15:17, 16:3 **MS** [7] - 6:13, 6:18, 6:23, 8:5, 12:16, 13:8, 13:12 necessarily [1] - 5:5 need [7] - 8:5, 11:20, 11:22, 12:14, 14:15, 15:12, 15:13 neighbors [3] - 11:14, 13:14, 13:15 next [8] - 8:13, 8:14, 8:20, 8:24, 11:21, 12:15, 14:9, 16:4 notice [2] - 5:2, 5:3

notification [1] - 7:7 notify [1] - 7:6 noting [1] - 12:2 **November** [1] - 13:20 number [1] - 15:4 obviously [1] - 14:22 October [2] - 9:14, 13:20 offset [1] - 14:5 once [2] - 8:22, 14:21 one [4] - 7:1, 11:11, 11:23, 15:10 order [3] - 14:23, 15:14, 15:24 overpasses [1] - 14:19 own [2] - 10:18 paper [1] - 7:6 part [3] - 12:6, 13:13, 14:19 **people** [4] - 7:19, 11:3, 11:17, 12:22 perceive [1] - 15:15 perfect [1] - 15:17 personally [1] - 8:21 piece [1] - 11:5 plan [3] - 12:23, 15:5, 16:5 planning [1] - 11:4 Planning [2] - 5:23, 7:23 pleasure [1] - 16:3 plenty [3] - 7:6, 10:10, 11.16 **point** [2] - 7:9, 13:18 points [1] - 15:11 **possible** [1] - 6:14 possibly [1] - 14:14 postpone [1] - 7:22 preference [1] - 7:14 **prepared** [1] - 9:8 prerogative [1] - 8:11 proceed [1] - 6:8 process [1] - 10:21 projects [1] - 11:12 **prolonging** [1] - 12:8 **promise** [1] - 6:15 property [1] - 10:18 **provide** [1] - 7:7 provided [5] - 10:9, 12:7, 12:18, 15:4 **psyche** [1] - 13:4 **public** [7] - 5:3, 5:7, 5:11, 6:7, 8:15, 13:24, 14.2 pushing [1] - 10:16 put [1] - 7:15 questions [2] - 14:10, 15:21 quickly [1] - 6:20 quite [1] - 10:6 ready [5] - 6:10, 9:12, 15:15, 15:19, 15:20 really [4] - 10:14, 11:14, 13:18, 14:5 reasonable [1] - 15:1 reasoned [1] - 13:4 receipt [1] - 10:8

receive [1] - 14:21 received [1] - 7:3 recommendation [2] -5:22, 6:6 regards [1] - 6:6 regular [5] - 7:13, 7:21, 12:20, 13:6, 15:24 relevant [1] - 7:9 report [2] - 8:14, 14:22 **required** [1] - 5:2 requires [1] - 5:3 residents [5] - 10:5, 10:17, 11:8, 13:5, 13:22 respect [2] - 11:11, 11:13 result [1] - 14:15 results [3] - 14:13, 15:9, 15:11 review [4] - 8:21, 10:9, 10:10, 16:5 roadway [2] - 14:14, 15:6 room [2] - 8:6, 8:9 routed [1] - 15:14 rush [2] - 11:17, 11:23 rushing [1] - 11:18 sake [1] - 10:17 SCATTERDAY[9] - 6:5, 10:4, 11:8, 12:1, 12:6, 13:3, 13:13, 14:1, 14:4 **schedule** [8] - 5:5, 8:14, 11:3, 11:6, 12:21, 13:2, 13:6, 15:9 scheduled [1] - 8:3 **SCHWARTZ**[2] - 14:11, 14:18 **SCHWARZ**[1] - 15:2 scope [1] - 10:3 **searching** [1] - 9:6 second [4] - 7:18, 12:21, 12:23, 13:8 **see** [3] - 6:8, 8:7, 8:14 send [3] - 5:17, 6:20, 13:15 sense [1] - 15:17 sent [1] - 9:7 September [1] - 13:19 set [3] - 7:5, 8:7, 10:2 shall [1] - 12:17 **short** [1] - 9:14 significant [1] - 14:7 site [1] - 16:5 sometime [1] - 8:15 soon [1] - 6:15 soonest [1] - 5:1 sort [1] - 12:23 sound [1] - 8:10 sounds [1] - 8:16 speaking [1] - 8:21

special [2] - 11:5, 12:24

**staff** [1] - 9:2

state [1] - 5:3

status [1] - 7:2

starting [1] - 10:21

stay [1] - 11:2 **staying** [1] - 11:6 stick[1] - 7:12 still [2] - 9:8, 10:5 **study** [10] - 9:3, 14:12, 14:14, 14:16, 15:3, 15:4, 15:7, 15:10, 15:12, 15:16 stuff [1] - 11:20 **submission** [1] - 10:11 submit [1] - 15:9 **submittal** [1] - 14:20 **submittals** [3] - 6:10, 6:19, 6:24 **submitted** [4] - 5:7, 5:12, 10:10, 15:16 **submitting** [1] - 14:13 **subsequent** [1] - 10:12 **successful** [1] - 14:23 **suggested** [2] - 10:1, 15:5 suggestion [1] - 8:19 system [1] - 8:10 ten [1] - 14:4 terms [1] - 13:16 thereabouts [1] - 10:14 thinking [1] - 7:18 three [1] - 7:17 throws [1] - 12:24 timeframe [5] - 6:17, 9:14, 10:6, 10:15, 12:6 **Tom** [2] - 7:6, 9:5 tomorrow [1] - 13:6 tonight [1] - 5:5 towards [1] - 5:4 track [3] - 5:18, 6:24, 7:4 traffic [13] - 9:4, 9:18, 14:12, 14:14, 14:16, 14:24, 15:3, 15:4, 15:5, 15:7, 15:10, 15:12, 15:16 try [1] - 15:14 trying [2] - 12:22, 15:8 Tuesday [1] - 13:11 turning [1] - 15:13 two [1] - 11:7 uncertainty [2] - 10:6, 10:17 underway [2] - 9:4, 9:19 up [2] - 5:12, 9:11 upcoming [1] - 12:16 **update** [1] - 5:18 updated [1] - 8:14 updates [1] - 9:12 versus [1] - 11:4 vetted [1] - 12:13 voice [1] - 13:16 voices [1] - 13:21 **WARMUTH**[7] - 6:13, 6:18, 6:23, 8:5, 12:16, 13:8, 13:12 week [1] - 7:18 weeks' [1] - 11:7 wondering [3] - 14:12, 15:2, 15:15

worrying [1] - 7:14 worth [1] - 12:2 worthy [1] - 14:7 WRIGHT [9] - 5:8, 5:14, 7:12, 7:24, 11:1, 12:20, 13:11, 13:24, 14:2 Wright's [1] - 8:19 year [1] - 7:17 Zoning [2] - 5:17, 6:1